



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/661,919	09/12/2003	William J. Taylor	P-8059.00	8345
27581	7590	01/27/2006	EXAMINER	
MEDTRONIC, INC. 710 MEDTRONIC PARK MINNEAPOLIS, MN 55432-9924			KRAMER, NICOLE R	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			3762	

DATE MAILED: 01/27/2006

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Office Action Summary

Application No.

10/661,919

Applicant(s)

TAYLOR ET AL.

Examiner

Nicole R. Kramer

Art Unit

3762

-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --

Period for Reply

A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.

- Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).

Status

- 1) ☒ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 12 September 2003.
- 2a) ☐ This action is **FINAL**. 2b) ☒ This action is non-final.
- 3) ☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under *Ex parte Quayle*, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.

Disposition of Claims

- 4) ☒ Claim(s) 1-64 is/are pending in the application.
- 4a) Of the above claim(s) _____ is/are withdrawn from consideration.
- 5) ☐ Claim(s) _____ is/are allowed.
- 6) ☒ Claim(s) 1-64 is/are rejected.
- 7) ☐ Claim(s) _____ is/are objected to.
- 8) ☐ Claim(s) _____ are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.

Application Papers

- 9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.
- 10) ☒ The drawing(s) filed on 12 September 2003 is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
- 11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.

Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119

- 12) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:
1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.
 2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. _____.
 3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).

* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.

Attachment(s)

- | | |
|--|---|
| 1) <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) | 4) <input type="checkbox"/> Interview Summary (PTO-413)
Paper No(s)/Mail Date. _____ |
| 2) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) | 5) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152) |
| 3) <input type="checkbox"/> Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)
Paper No(s)/Mail Date _____ | 6) <input type="checkbox"/> Other: _____ |

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

1. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless –

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

2. Claims 1, 2, 5-6, 9-14, 22, 25, 28-32, 35-36, 44-45, 48-49, 52-57 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by U.S. Patent No. 5,531,003 ("Seifried et al.").

Seifried et al. discloses a feedthrough assembly comprising a ferrule (10) having an inner surface and an outer surface, a terminal (electrical pin lead 12) extending through said, a conductive metal coating covering the terminal said coating being more resistant to oxidation than said terminal (a metallic film or coating 30 is placed on the pin to minimize and control the growth of the oxide thereon; see col. 3, lines 1-25), and a body of insulation material disposed between said terminal and said inner wall for preventing said ferrule from electrically contacting said terminal (insulator seal means 14; see lines 15-20). Seifried et al. discloses that the electrical feedthrough is intended for use with an implantable pulse generator, which includes an encasement or container having electrical contents disposed within the container (see col. 2, lines 1-35).

Although the IPG is not shown in the figures, Seifried discloses that the ferrule of the feedthrough assembly extends into the container of the IPG for the purposes making

Art Unit: 3762

electrical connection between the bottom end of the pin 12 and the electrical contents thereof (see col. 2, lines 24-34). Although not explicit, a connector for electrically coupling and mechanically engaging the first end of terminal with an electrical contact coupled to the electrical components of the IPG is necessarily present.

With respect to claims 2 and 45, the conductive metal coating (30) also covers an area of said terminal adjacent to said body of insulation material (see Fig. 1).

With respect to claims 5, 35, and 48, the conductive metal coating entirely covers said terminal (see Fig. 1).

With respect to claims 6, 9-11, 25, 28-30, 49, and 52-54, the conductive metal coating is a noble metal or a noble metal alloy (see col. 3, lines 35-40). Seifried et al. discloses that the conductive metal coating may be gold, platinum, palladium, and titanium (see col. 3, lines 35-40).

With respect to claims 12-13, 31-32, and 55-56, Seifried et al. discloses that the thickness of the coating is not critical so long as it is substantially continuous in its coverage. It may range from 500Å to about 10,000Å (see col. 3, lines 20-25).

With respect to claims 14, 36, and 57, the terminal (pin 12) is a refractory metal or a refractory metal alloy (tantalum or niobium).

With respect to claim 22, the feedthrough assembly of Seifried used in an IPG is necessarily manufactured according to the method of claim 22.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

3. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negated by the manner in which the invention was made.

4. Claims 3-4, 7-8, 23-24, 26-27, 33-34, 46-47, and 50-51 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over U.S. Patent No. 5,531,003 ("Seifried et al.").

With respect to claims 7-8, 26-27, and 50-51, as discussed above, Seifried et al. discloses a feedthrough assembly comprising a conductive metal coating covering the terminal said coating being more resistant to oxidation than said terminal (a metallic film or coating 30 is placed on the pin to minimize and control the growth of the oxide thereon; see col. 3, lines 1-25). Seifried discloses that the conductive metal coating may be gold, platinum, palladium, and titanium (see col. 3, lines 35-40), but fails to specifically disclose that the conductive metal coating may be rhodium or ruthenium. It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time of applicant's invention to substitute either rhodium or ruthenium as the conductive metal coating because the selection of any noble metal in order to minimize and control the growth of oxidation on the terminal would be within the level of ordinary skill in the art.

With respect to claims 3-4, 23-24, and 46-47, as discussed above, Seifried et al. discloses that the electrical feedthrough is intended for use with an implantable pulse generator (see col. 2, lines 1-35). Although not explicit, a connector for electrically coupling and mechanically engaging the first end of terminal with an electrical contact

Art Unit: 3762

coupled to the electrical components of the IPG is necessarily present. Seifried et al. is silent as to the type of connector. As admitted by Applicant at pages 8-9, crimping and spring devices are both well known in the art for ensuring an electrical connection between terminal pins and electrical contacts. It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time of applicant's invention to utilize either a crimping or a spring device as the connector because the selection of any connector in order to ensure an electrical connection between the terminal pin and the electrical contact would be within the level of ordinary skill in the art.

With respect to claims 33 and 34, Seifried et al. discloses that the protective metal coating may be applied over the entire pin or it may be applied to only specific portions of the pin (see col. 3, lines 15-20). Seifried et al. fails to specifically disclose that forming the conductive coating includes mechanically or chemically masking areas that are not to be coated with the conductive material (i.e., areas adjacent to the pin or areas on the pin). Masking is a well-known methodology for applying selective coatings. It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time of applicant's invention to mechanically or chemically mask areas that are not to be coated with the conductive material in order to effectively apply the conductive coating only on the desired area (i.e., avoid coating areas adjacent to the pin or areas on the pin that are not desired to be coated).

5. Claims 15-21, 37-43, and 58-64 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over U.S. Patent No. 5,531,003 ("Seifried et al.") in view of U.S. Patent No. 6,765,779 ("Stevenson et al.").

As discussed above, Seifried et al. discloses that the electrical feedthrough is intended for use with an implantable pulse generator (see col. 2, lines 1-35) and explains that the ferrule of the feedthrough assembly extends into the container of the IPG for the purposes making electrical connection between the bottom end of the pin 12 and the electrical contents thereof (see col. 2, lines 24-34). Seifried et al. fails to disclose that the ferrule may contain a second conductive metal coating covering at least a portion of said ferrule outer surface and a second connector for electrically coupling and mechanically engaging said ferrule outer surface with said second electrical contact. Stevenson et al. discloses an EMI feedthrough filter terminal assembly in which a conductive pad of an oxide resistant biostable material (gold bond pad 346; see for example Fig. 22 and associated text) is provided on a surface of the ferrule (302). A second connector (conductive polyimide area 332) electrically and mechanically couples the ferrule surface having gold bond pad 346 to a second electrical contact (metallization 314 of capacitor 300). It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time of applicant's invention to modify the feedthrough assembly of Seifried et al. such that a conductive pad is provided on a surface of the ferrule for electrically and mechanically engaging the ferrule surface with a second electrical contact in order to provide a reliable electrical connection between the ferrule and the EMI filter capacitor.

With respect to claims 16, 38, and 59, Stevenson et al. fails to disclose that the connector is a spring contact. As admitted by Applicant at page 9, spring devices are well known in the art for ensuring an electrical connection between two structures. It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time of applicant's invention to utilize a spring device as the connector because the selection of any connector in order to ensure an electrical connection between the two structures would be within the level of ordinary skill in the art.

With respect to claims 17, 39, and 60, Stevenson et al. discloses that the conductive pad is a noble metal or a noble metal alloy.

With respect to claims 18-19, 40-41, and 62-63, Stevenson et al. discloses that the conductive pad provides a reliable electrical connection and the techniques described are applicable to other hermetic seal ferrule materials like niobium and tantalum (see col. 13, lines 1-35), but fails to specifically disclose that the conductive pad may be titanium or niobium. It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time of applicant's invention to substitute either titanium or niobium as the conductive pad because the selection of any conductive metal in order to establish a reliable electrical connection would be within the level of ordinary skill in the art.

With respect to claims 20-21, 42-43, and 63-64, Stevenson et al. fails to disclose the specific thickness of the conductive pad attached to the ferrule. However, Seifried et al. discloses that a satisfactory thickness of a conductive coating to be from 500A to about 10,000A (see col. 3, lines 20-25).

Conclusion

6. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure:

U.S. Patent No. 4,010,759 teaches forming a continuous oxidation layer of components produced from various refractory metals (see Abstract).

U.S. Patent No. 5,406,444 discloses tantalum feedthrough conductor pins that are provided with a thin metal coating to control oxidation growth during feedthrough fabrication.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Nicole R. Kramer whose telephone number is 571-272-8792. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday through Friday, 8 a.m. to 4:30 p.m..

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Angela Sykes can be reached on 571-272-4955. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Art Unit: 3762

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see <http://pair-direct.uspto.gov>. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

NRK

NRK

1/12/06


George Manuel
Primary Examiner